

Role Scaffold — Food Stand Caretaker (Uey Kai Garden, DCCG)

Drafted: 2026-06-05

Status: Draft — to be confirmed with whoever holds the role.

Template: DCCG Role Scaffold Template.

Purpose of the Scaffold

The Food Stand Caretaker looks after the physical food stand on the Uey — keeps the structure sound, the board legible, the shelf surface clean. The role does NOT govern what flows through the stand. That's governed by board text v1 and the food-security module's anchors and rules. The Caretaker looks after the container; the architecture handles the contents.

Why This Matters

A food stand needs someone whose attention is on its physical condition — otherwise wood weathers, paint fades, the board frays. With the role held well, the stand stays usable. Without the role sliding into operator behaviour, the food flow stays governed by the architecture, not by the Caretaker.

Outcomes of the Scaffold

Poor Outcome. The Caretaker becomes the operator that existing food stand gap warns about — stocking when low, tidying shelves, deciding what belongs. The architecture is breached through care.

Expected Outcome. The Caretaker tends the structure (paint, hinges, the board) and doesn't tend the contents. non operator norm holds.

Excellent Outcome. The stand is well-kept and no one is its keeper. The Caretaker is invisible to the casual user.

Planks of the Scaffold

1. Look after the structure

Purpose of the Plank. The physical stand stays sound — paint, roof, hinges, the board's mounting and legibility.

Why This Matters. Architecture only works if the surface holds. A weathered, unreadable board is no board at all.

Poor Outcome. The structure decays unnoticed.

Expected Outcome. The Caretaker repairs as needed. Materials fall under the Money and Spend note's receipts-required rule.

Excellent Outcome. The structure is kept up without anyone commenting on it.

2. Don't change the board text

Purpose of the Plank. board text v1 is six locked sentences. The Caretaker doesn't add to them, summarise them, or paraphrase them.

Why This Matters. Every addition dilutes the existing sentences. governance ceiling applies: nothing new added without replacing something already there.

Poor Outcome. The Caretaker adds a sign about food safety, or hours, or a contact name. The architecture is breached at the surface.

Expected Outcome. The Caretaker resists the urge to add. If the board needs revising, rule 3 voice exit is the route, not a unilateral edit.

Excellent Outcome. When newcomers ask "who runs this?" the Caretaker answers, gently: "no one does."

3. Don't stock the shelf

Purpose of the Plank. pattern disruption norm and system ask norm apply directly. The Caretaker doesn't stock, doesn't maintain a stocking schedule, doesn't call individuals when the shelf is low.

Why This Matters. A Caretaker who stocks regularly is being the chronic giver the architecture avoids. A stand stocked on schedule becomes legible to social inference.

Poor Outcome. The Caretaker takes responsibility for the shelf. The stand becomes theirs.

Expected Outcome. When the shelf is low, the Caretaker uses the system-ask. "The shelf's been low this week — anyone got something to bring?" Broadcast, not targeted.

Excellent Outcome. The Caretaker can be unwell for a month and nobody notices, because the architecture governs the flow.

Values

Three values: **care without ownership; the architecture governs the contents; and avoiding the operator drift.**

See also: board text v1, existing food stand gap, non operator norm, pattern disruption norm, system ask norm, rule 3 voice exit.